

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D C 20231 www.uspto.gov

	The second secon	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/650,122	FILING DATE 08/29/2000	Mitsusuke Kyogoku	ASMJP.062AUS	9091
20995 7590 04/28/2003		FARIIP	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET			KACKAR, RAM N	
FOURTEENT IRVINE, CA	H FLOOR 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1763 DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	licant(s)		
			09/650,122		KYOGOKU ET AL.		
		Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
			Ram N Kack	ar	1763		
		· The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the c	over she	et with the correspondence address		
Pe	riod for	Reply	DEDLY IS SET TO	EXPIRE	3 MONTH(S) FROM		
	THE M - Extensions after S - If the p - If NO - Failure	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, cation. ays, a reply within the statuto ony period will apply and will.	however, n ry minimum xpire SIX (6	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
St	atus	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 16 April 2003 .				
	1)[) This action is n	on-final.			
	2a)⊡	This action to the terms of the section for	allowance excent	for forma	I matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
D	ispositi	on of Claims	the application				
	4)	Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 7 is/are pending in	withdrawn from con-	sideratio	n.		
		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	Withdrawn none con-	5140141.0			
	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊡	Claim(s) <u>1,4,5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.					
ļ	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	on and/or election re	auireme	nt		
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
A	pplicat	ion Papers	Examiner.				
	9)[9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 GHC 1.05(a).						
	44)[]	The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) ap	proved	b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
	11)	If approved, corrected drawings are requ	uired in reply to this Of	ice action	1.		
	12\□	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Deiority	under 35 U.S.C. 88 119 and 120					
	13/[7]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	der 35 L	I.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	· ·	1 [7] Cortified copies of the priority (documents have bee	n receiv	ed.		
		a Contified copies of the priority (documents have bee	n receiv	ed in Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). application Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	14)[7	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or domestic priority u	nder 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
		* See the attached detailed Office action for distributions. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
			or domestic priority t				
	Attachm			4) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		
	2) The	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	PTO-948) aper No(s)	5) 🔲 1	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:		
	٠				N42		

Application/Control Number: 09/650,122

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams
 (US Patent 6959507) in view of Predhome Jr (US Patent Re 30188)

Adams discloses a multi-chamber load locking device divided in to an upper chamber (Fig2-34) a lower chamber (Fig2-38) and an intermediate section located between the upper chamber and the lower chamber (Fig2-36), a divider plate (Fig2-73) having an upper side and a lower side both of which are adapted to support wafers (Fig2-66 and68), the plate (Fig2-73) moving reciprocally between an upper position and a lower position so that when the plate is at upper position it divides and seals the upper chamber from intermediate section and lower chamber (Fig2-38) and when the plate is at lower position the plate divides and seals the lower chamber from intermediate section and upper chamber (Fig2-34), seals (Fig2-58 and 60) and doors (Col 4 line 16) which can go on openings (Fig 2-40 and 44), which makes it adaptable to be disposed between a loading station and a transfer chamber (Fig 2, Fig 1-26, 28).

Adam does not disclose the plate lift mechanism of cylindrical cam structure and a rotary actuator.

Predhome Jr discloses cam follower as part of a cylindrical body coaxial with the cam structure (Fig 5 -82) and discloses the cylinder with the cam grooves outside the cam follower

Art Unit: 1763

undergoing reciprocal motion, the cam cylinder having a cam groove having a shape which can be divided in five sections (i) upper horizontal (ii) lower horizontal (iii) intermediate section (iv) upper transition section and (v) lower transition section to make sure that while the plate is going towards sealing to any position it moves slowly and the transition from higher speed of intermediate section to low speed of sealing is smooth (Fig 5-54).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to install a cam groove and cam follower taught by Predhome Jr to Adam's load lock to provide for the reciprocal motion of the divider plate as the mechanism is lot simpler, cheaper and reliable to use and provides break at upper and lower stops.

It would have been obvious to eliminate the two redundant plates in Adams to reduce cost.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams (US Patent 3. 6959507) in view of Predhome Jr (US Patent Re 30188) as applied to claim 1 and further in view of Hautau (US Patent 4134305).

Hautau (US Patent 4134305) discloses a cylindrical cam structure (Fig 4-114) whose rotation causes a vertical movement of cam follower (Fig 4-124) where the cam follower slides on a beam (Fig 4- 122) to act as a guide for up/down movement.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a beam for guidance to the lifting mechanism adapted for Adam's load lock device.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams (US Patent 6959507) in view of Predhome Jr (US Patent Re 30188) as applied to claim 1 and further in view 4. of Phillips et al (US Patent 4889319).

Art Unit: 1763

Adams discloses seals on his multi-chamber load-locking device but does not disclose that the seals are O-ring seals.

O-ring seals are well known in the art as disclosed by Phillips et al (Col 1-31).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use O ring seals on Adam's load lock to provide for the reliable seal, Oring seals are known for.

Response to Amendment

Applicants arguments filed on 4/16/2003 have been considered but not found to be persuasive.

Applicant argues that the groove on the cam cylinder in the invention is substantially all around the cylinder enabling the angle of the groove to be smaller and causing less power required for lifting and that the horizontal section on the cam cylinder groove is short and follower does not travel much in horizontal direction.

Applicant further argues that in Predhome Jr. the mechanism to rotate the cam cylinder is through a horizontal shaft and therefore less energy efficient.

Predhome Jr discloses a cam groove of S shape, which has same five regions as claimed. The exact angles and distances of the groove and follower depend upon load, velocity, acceleration and deceleration and are normal adjustments needed to make the cam mechanism work. Adjustments of this type have been held obvious. The mechanism to rotate the cam cylinder is outside the lift mechanism and outside the scope of the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/650,122

Art Unit: 1763

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N Kackar whose telephone number is 703 305 3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on 703 308 1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/650,122

Art Unit: 1763

RK

April 25, 2003

SHRIVE P. SECT.
SUPERVISOR: PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISOR: PATENT EXAMINER